

File name = SP/MARSHA

Return-Path: <PMS@asc.upenn.edu>

Received: (from user PMS) by ASC.upenn.edu; 10 Jul 90 14:19:50 EDT

To: fgg@ASC.upenn.edu

From: PMS@asc.upenn.edu

Date: 10 Jul 90 14:19:50 EDT

To: Kathleen

Cc: George

From: Marsha

RE: OUP/JOC contract

At the end of last week I was able to have a long talk with Susan Keiser, Journals Manager of OUP, and I conveyed to her my understanding of the expressed interest of the ICA Board in exploring with the University the possibility of taking on the JOURNAL. In the course of discussing the implications for our current arrangement, she volunteered that it would be best to extend the existing OUP-UPENN contract for one more year, through the 1991 JOC volume, to provide the necessary time and stability. I concurred, particularly given that the FY91 budget will support this extension, issues for 1991 are already underway, and a publishing hiatus would be harmful for everyone.

Susan will be discussing the contract extension with the OUP President. I recommended the extension to George and Janice yesterday at our JOC meeting and they agreed; I trust that you will also find it a good idea.

File name = SP/JOC

Return-Path: <FKJ@asc.upenn.edu>

Received: (from user FKJ) by ASC.upenn.edu; 14 Jul 90 16:00:56 EDT

Subject: joc

To: fgg@ASC.upenn.edu

From: FKJ@asc.upenn.edu

Date: 14 Jul 90 16:00:56 EDT

the only constraints I see are these: We have to have it moved by the end of May 1992 because that is when 'the graduate students' working on it 'and entitled by their role as grad students' to offices--will finish their degrees. 2: If Aiken keeps his word and provides the money the journal projects to be in deficit, the condition is that the journal is at that point in transition with successful transition completed by May 92. He will provide up to the \$40,000 projected second year deficit if we've done everything we agreed to do--approach organizations etc. --which we have and are. 3: Assuming the post doc program stays on course I will have money in the budget at the end of that year to carry some journal staff over into new jobs. I know I can pick up one secy. Whether there will be room/role for Janice remains to be seen. Marsha will have finished Ph.D by then.⌘(FYI-- the trustees refused to provide ten thousand dollars for each of the first two years of joc operation somewhere else on teh grounds that they had already paid \$60,000 (is that accurate) to get the journal out of the school. k

File name = SP/JOC2

k - thanks for info on JOC. Some questions and comments:

1. Move by the end of May 1992. Out of school or off campus?
Even if fully financed? In other words, is this simply U. refusal to support a scholarly journal or an act of banishment (censorship) to appease trustees? (Incidentally, I appreciate your "grad students" stopgap.)
2. I assume that Aiken's "transition money" is for the 1991-92 budget year. Does that mean that info on transition is needed by May 1991? Or sometime during 1991?
3. As I have recruited and worked with JOC staff for many years, and feel somewhat responsible for their fate, I would appreciate your letting me know what, if anything, you may have for any of them and then discussing alternatives with them, which I have already begun to do.
4. I have no idea what \$60,000 the trustees are referring to. Unless it's the support during the transition to Oxford.
5. I will be exploring options in the next few months and will keep you posted.

File name = SP/JOURN

Return-Path: <FKJ@asc.upenn.edu>

Received: (from user FKJ) by ASC.upenn.edu; 16 Jul 90 14:25:04 EDT

To: FGG@asc.upenn.edu

From: FKJ@asc.upenn.edu

Date: 16 Jul 90 14:25:04 EDT

The \$60,000 probably is the transition to Oxford. That was the figure given me when the request for transitional funding was turned down. You suggested that I argue that the University provides the space for the journal hence no cost to the school. In the course of the hassles about space charges (which have been ongoing all year) the trustees confirmed that what I'd told them (that the university provides the space) wasn't true. The School (hence the foundation) does pay for it. I was told to stop that subsidy and said that I couldn't since it was space provided to graduate students. I was asked how long they had been here and hedged saying they would finish by the end of 1992 at which time the journal would either be charged for space at the regular university rate or the school would reclaim space. The University is unwilling to keep the journal unless it breaks even. If there is a way to get it to break even in 1991-2 and beyond, and replace Marsha (who will graduate and leave), and pay for the space, then the University won't require that journal be 'sold'. The trustees have taken no position on the journal other than 'not one penny of school funding in any form may be spent on it.' I've told Marsha I will give her a one year appointment as an adjunct if she needs it after the conclusion of the journal to ease her transition. If the phase out occurs in 1991-2, I hope to be able to simply switch Carol to the post-doc program. If not she would receive the regular university lay off benefits. Same for Janice. Aiken will cover the projected 1991-2 \$40,000 deficit if we have done everything we agreed to do and the journal is either out of business or other hands for 1992-3. Looking into raising subscription rates is one of the things he asked you to look into. I promised to ask the foundation for support if the journal were tied to the post doc program which I did and was told 'no way.' The trustees will not approve any special lay-off benefits for journal employees. They view the \$60,000 as absolving the foundation of all forms of future financial responsibility.

k