



Figure 5

Relationship between Amount of Television Viewing and an Index of Images of Violence, for Residents of Large Cities, and by Race and Income



BY RACE:

- = Whites
- - - - = Non-whites



By RESIDENCE:

- = City over 250,000
- - - - = City under 250,000
- = Suburban
- . . . - = Non-metropolitan

Figure 6

Percent of Respondents who Feel that "Fear of Crime is a Very Serious Personal Problem," by Amount of Television Viewing, Controlling for Race and Residence

Table 1

Components of Images of Violence Index

	Percent Overestimating Chances of Involvement in Violence			Percent Agreeing that Women are More likely to Be Victims Of Crime			Percent Saying Their Neighborhoods are Only Somewhat Safe or not Safe at all			Percent Saying that Fear of Crime Is a very Serious Problem			Percent Agreeing that Crime is Rising		
	Percent Light Viewers ²	CD ³	gamma	Percent Light Viewers ²	CD ³	gamma	Percent Light Viewers ²	CD ³	gamma	Percent Light Viewers ²	CD ³	gamma	Percent Light Viewers ²	CD ³	gamma
Overall	71	+10	.14***	72	+10	.18***	55	+11	.10***	20	+9	.12***	94	+4	.30***
controlling for:															
Age															
18-29	76	+14	.28***	73	+6	.11**	49	+11	.09**	16	+11	.21***	93	+4	.27***
30-54	68	+9	.11**	70	+10	.18***	53	+12	.09***	17	+11	.12***	96	+3	.27**
over 55	71	+4	.07*	77	+10	.22***	65	+9	.06*	31	+1	-.01	94	+4	.38***
Education															
No College	76	+7	.13***	70	+12	.20***	58	+10	.07***	24	+8	.11***	96	+3	.28***
Some College	63	+9	.10*	76	+7	.06	49	+9	.07*	13	+5	.09*	91	+5	.22**
Newspaper Reading															
Sometimes	75	+14	.25***	70	+15	.26***	58	+17	.10***	23	+11	.14***	94	+4	.27***
Everyday	69	+7	.10***	74	+17	.13***	53	+8	.09***	18	+8	.11***	95	+4	.36***
Race															
White	69	+10	.13***	73	+9	.17***	53	+10	.09***	17	+10	.14***	94	+4	.29***
Non-White	86	+7	.25**	70	+12	.21**	72	+16	.09*	46	-6	-.07	95	+4	.37**
Urban Proximity															
City over 250,000	69	+10	.13**	77	0	-.00	71	+14	.19***	26	+20	.19***	88	+10	.52***
City under 250,000	74	+3	.05	64	+24	.42***	59	+8	.04	22	+5	.09*	89	.11	.57***
Suburban	67	+13	.18***	75	.10	.19***	50	+13	.13***	19	+10	.12***	96	+2	.13
Non-Metropolitan	77	+8	.13**	70	+9	.17***	51	+7	.01	18	+2	.08**	98	0	.10
Income															
under \$10,000	84	0	.04	67	+18	.32***	61	+14	.10***	35	-2	-.00	96	+4	.51***
\$10,000 - \$25,000	68	+8	.12***	74	+6	.12***	55	+6	.04	16	+9	.16***	93	+5	.35***
over \$25,000	62	+18	.13**	76	0	-.03	49	+1	-.01	10	+16	.11**	96	-1	-.13
Sex															
Male	68	+8	.09**	68	+10	.20***	38	+16	.16***	21	+4	.07**	95	+2	.07
Female	76	+8	.15***	78	+6	.14***	73	+1	-.01	20	+12	.14***	94	+5	.55***

1 "On the average weekday, about how many hours do you personally watch television?"

Light: under 2 hours
 Medium: 2 - 4 hours
 Heavy: over 4 hours

2 Percent Light Viewers = percent of light viewers giving the "Television Answer"

3 CD = Cultivation Differential; percent of heavy viewers minus the percent of light viewers giving the "Television Answer"

* $p \leq .05$ (tau)

** $p \leq .01$ (tau)

*** $p \leq .001$ (tau)

Data Source: Opinion Research Corporation





Figure 1

Relationship between Amount of Television Viewing and an Index of Images of Violence, within Major Demographic Subgroups


Percent who Agree that
"Crime is Rising"



BY RESIDENCE:

- = Large Cities
- - - - = Small Cities
- = Suburbs
- . . . - = Non-Metropolitan

Percent Overestimating Chances of
Involvement in Violence



BY INCOME:

- = Low Income
- - - - = Medium Income
- = High Income

Figure 2

Examples of "Mainstreaming"

Table 1

Components of Images of Violence Index

	Percent Overestimating Chances of Involvement in Violence			Percent Agreeing that Women are More likely to Be Victims Of Crime			Percent Saying Their Neighborhoods are Only Somewhat Safe or not Safe at all			Percent Saying that Fear of Crime Is a very Serious Problem			Percent Agreeing that Crime is Rising		
	Percent Light Viewers ²	CD ³	gamma	Percent Light Viewers ²	CD ³	gamma	Percent Light Viewers ²	CD ³	gamma	Percent Light Viewers ²	CD ³	gamma	Percent Light Viewers ²	CD ³	gamma
Overall	71	+10	.14***	72	+10	.18***	55	+11	.10***	20	+9	.12***	94	+4	.30***
controlling for:															
Age															
18-29	76	+14	.28***	73	+6	.11**	49	+11	.09**	16	+11	.21***	93	+4	.27***
30-54	68	+9	.11**	70	+10	.18***	53	+12	.09***	17	+11	.12***	96	+3	.27**
over 55	71	+4	.07*	77	+10	.22***	65	+9	.06*	31	+1	-.01	94	+4	.38***
Education															
No College	76	+7	.13***	70	+12	.20***	58	+10	.07***	24	+8	.11***	96	+3	.28***
Some College	63	+9	.10*	76	+7	.06	49	+9	.07*	13	+5	.09*	91	+5	.22**
Newspaper Reading															
Sometimes	75	+14	.25***	70	+15	.26***	58	+17	.10***	23	+11	.14***	94	+4	.27***
Everyday	69	+7	.10***	74	+17	.13***	53	+8	.09***	18	+8	.11***	95	+4	.36***
Race															
White	69	+10	.13***	73	+9	.17***	53	+10	.09***	17	+10	.14***	94	+4	.29***
Non-White	86	+7	.25**	70	+12	.21**	72	+16	.09*	46	-6	-.07	95	+4	.37**
Urban Proximity															
City over 250,000	69	+10	.13**	77	0	-.00	71	+14	.19***	26	+20	.19***	88	+10	.52***
City under 250,000	74	+3	.05	64	+24	.42***	59	+8	.04	22	+5	.09*	89	.11	.57***
Suburban	67	+13	.18***	75	.10	.19***	50	+13	.13***	19	+10	.12***	96	+2	.13
Non-Metropolitan	77	+8	.13**	70	+9	.17***	51	+7	.01	18	+2	.08**	98	0	.10
Income															
under \$10,000	84	0	.04	67	+18	.32***	61	+14	.10***	35	-2	-.00	96	+4	.51***
\$10,000 - \$25,000	68	+8	.12***	74	+6	.12***	55	+6	.04	16	+9	.16***	93	+5	.35***
over \$25,000	62	+18	.13**	76	0	-.03	49	+1	-.01	10	+16	.11**	96	-1	-.13
Sex															
Male	68	+8	.09**	68	+10	.20***	38	+16	.16***	21	+4	.07**	95	+2	.07
Female	76	+8	.15***	78	+6	.14***	73	+1	-.01	20	+12	.14***	94	+5	.55***

1 "On the average weekday, about how many hours do you personally watch television?"

Light: under 2 hours
 Medium: 2 - 4 hours
 Heavy: over 4 hours

2 Percent Light Viewers = percent of light viewers giving the "Television Answer"

3 CD = Cultivation Differential; percent of heavy viewers minus the percent of light viewers giving the "Television Answer"

* $p \leq .05$ (tau)

** $p \leq .01$ (tau)

*** $p \leq .001$ (tau)

Data Source: Opinion Research Corporation





Figure 1

Relationship between Amount of Television Viewing and an Index of Images of Violence, within Major Demographic Subgroups

Percent who Agree that
"Crime is Rising"




TV
VIEWING:

BY RESIDENCE:

- = Large Cities
- - - - = Small Cities
- = Suburbs
- . - . - = Non-Metropolitan

Percent Overestimating Chances of
Involvement in Violence



BY INCOME:

- = Low Income
- - - - = Medium Income
- = High Income

Figure 2

Examples of "Mainstreaming"

Table 1

Components of Images of Violence Index

	Percent Overestimating Chances of Involvement in Violence			Percent Agreeing that Women are More likely to Be Victims Of Crime			Percent Saying Their Neighborhoods are Only Somewhat Safe or not Safe at all			Percent Saying that Fear of Crime Is a very Serious Problem			Percent Agreeing that Crime is Rising		
	Percent Light Viewers	CD ²	gamma ³	Percent Light Viewers	CD ²	gamma ³	Percent Light Viewers	CD ²	gamma ³	Percent Light Viewers	CD ²	gamma ³	Percent Light Viewers	CD ²	gamma ³
Overall	71	+10	.14***	72	+10	.18***	55	+11	.10***	20	+9	.12***	94	+4	.30***
controlling for:															
Age															
18-29	76	+14	.28***	73	+6	.11**	49	+11	.09**	16	+11	.21***	93	+4	.27***
30-54	68	+9	.11**	70	+10	.18***	53	+12	.09***	17	+11	.12***	96	+3	.27***
over 55	71	+4	.07*	77	+10	.22***	65	+9	.06*	31	+1	-.01	94	+4	.38***
Education															
No College	76	+7	.13***	70	+12	.20***	58	+10	.07***	24	+8	.11***	96	+3	.28***
Some College	63	+9	.10*	76	+7	.06	49	+9	.07*	13	+5	.09*	91	+5	.22**
Newspaper Reading															
Sometimes	75	+14	.25***	70	+15	.26***	58	+17	.10***	23	+11	.14***	94	+4	.27***
Everyday	69	+7	.10***	74	+17	.13***	53	+8	.09***	18	+8	.11***	95	+4	.36***
Race															
White	69	+10	.13***	73	+9	.17***	53	+10	.08***	17	+10	.14***	94	+4	.29***
Non-White	86	+7	.25**	70	+12	.21**	72	+16	.09*	46	+6	-.07	95	+4	.37**
Urban Proximity															
City over 250,000	59	+10	.13**	77	0	-.00	71	+14	.19***	26	+20	.19***	88	+10	.52***
City under 250,000	74	+3	.05	64	+24	.42***	59	+8	.04	22	+5	.09*	89	.11	.57***
Suburban	67	+13	.18***	75	.10	.19***	50	+13	.13***	19	+10	.12***	96	+2	.13
Non-Metropolitan	77	+8	.13**	70	+9	.17***	51	+7	.01	18	+2	.08**	98	0	.10
Income															
under \$10,000	84	0	.04	67	+18	.32***	61	+14	.10***	35	-2	-.00	96	+4	.51***
\$10,000 - \$25,000	68	+8	.12***	74	+6	.12***	55	+6	.04	16	+9	.16***	93	+5	.35***
over \$25,000	62	+18	.13**	76	0	-.03	49	+1	-.01	10	+16	.11**	96	-1	-.13
Sex															
Male	68	+8	.09**	68	+10	.20***	38	+16	.16***	21	+4	.07**	95	+2	.07
Female	76	+8	.15***	78	+6	.14***	73	+1	-.01	20	+12	.14***	94	+5	.55***

1 "On the average weekday, about how many hours do you personally watch television?"
 Light: under 2 hours
 Medium: 2 - 4 hours
 Heavy: over 4 hours

2 Percent Light Viewers = percent of light viewers giving the "Television Answer"

3 CD = Cultivation Differential; percent of heavy viewers minus the percent of light viewers giving the "Television Answer"

* $p \leq .05$ (tau)
 ** $p \leq .01$ (tau)
 *** $p \leq .001$ (tau)





Figure 1

Relationship between Amount of Television Viewing and an Index of Images of Violence, within Major Demographic Subgroups

Percent who Agree that
"Crime is Rising"




TV
VIEWING:

BY RESIDENCE:

- = Large Cities
- - - - = Small Cities
- = Suburbs
- . - . - = Non-Metropolitan

Percent Overestimating Chances of
Involvement in Violence



BY INCOME:

- = Low Income
- - - - = Medium Income
- = High Income

Figure 2

Examples of "Mainstreaming"